

An Investigation into Perpendicular Distances using TI-83

Summary

This is an investigation into the perpendicular distance from a given point to a given line. There is a proof by induction of the given formula – notoriously difficult to derive algebraically – by demonstrating it is invariant to translations.

Introduction

TI-83 set Zoom/ZStandard and enter $-10, -15, 1, -10, 15, 1, 1$
Then Zoom / 5:ZSquare
Go back to Window and observe the TI-83 rounds these values to give a square graph grid.

$$\text{Enter } Y1 = \frac{4}{3}x + 8$$

We will examine the perpendicular distance from point P1 (7 , 9). These values have been carefully chosen to ensure all subsequent calculations are in integers.

Now we determine a line perpendicular to Y1 passing through P1 given by

$$y - y_1 = m (x - x_1)$$

$$y - 9 = -\frac{3}{4} (x - 7)$$

because perpendiculars $m_1 \times m_2 = -1$

$$\text{Therefore enter } Y2 = -\frac{3}{4} x + \frac{57}{4}$$

Graph Y1 and Y2 and observe perpendicular

We can find the point of intersection directly. Enter 2nd CALC 5:intersect
Enter, Enter, Enter to get $x=3$ $y=12$ (3,12)
Algebraically you can deduce this by equating y values to determine x and then substituting back into Y1.

Distance P1 (7 , 9) to P2 (3 , 12) is

$$d^2 = (7 - 3)^2 + (9 - 12)^2$$

$$d = 5 \text{ (rigged to be a 3,4,5 triangle)}$$

Now we translate Y1, Y2, P1 and P2

by vector $[\begin{smallmatrix} -3 \\ -12 \end{smallmatrix}]$

$$Y1 \Rightarrow y + 12 = \frac{4}{3}(x + 3) + 8$$

$$\text{Enter } Y3 = \frac{4}{3} x$$

(again the values were rigged to produce a line that passes through (0,0)

$$P1 \Rightarrow P3 (7 , 9) + [\begin{smallmatrix} -3 \\ -12 \end{smallmatrix}] = (4 , -3)$$

$$P2 \Rightarrow P4 (3 , 12) + [\begin{smallmatrix} -3 \\ -12 \end{smallmatrix}] = (0 , 0)$$

and for completeness

$$\text{Enter } Y4 = -\frac{3}{4} x$$

So now we have Y3 and Y4 intersecting at (0 , 0) and

distance P3 (4 , -3) to P4 (0 , 0)

$$d^2 = (4 - 0)^2 + (-3 - 0)^2$$

$$d = 5 \text{ as before and as expected}$$

Summary to Date

We took a line Y1 and a point P1 and calculated the perpendicular distance “5” We then translated the line and point a predetermined distance to produce an intersection at (0 , 0) checking the perpendicular distance was identical as expected. So the distance is invariant to the translation because we’re translating a rigid body or network.

In principle if we could easily determine

$[\begin{smallmatrix} i \\ k \end{smallmatrix}]$ the calculation simplifies to

$$d^2 = (x_1 + j)^2 + (y_1 + k)^2$$

Repeat Algebraically but in reverse

Start with line Y3 = p/qx and

point P3

The line from P3 to (0 , 0) will be

perpendicular to Y3 because $m_1 \times m_2 = -1$

$$d^2 = (p)^2 + (-q)^2$$

Now apply vector $[\begin{smallmatrix} i \\ k \end{smallmatrix}]$ to Y3 and P3

$$y - k = p/q (x - j)$$

$$y = p/q (x - j) + k \text{ ie Y1}$$

and P3 $\Rightarrow (p + j , -q + k)$

(0 , 0) $\Rightarrow (j , k)$ which is on Y1

So perpendicular distance between

$(p + j , -q + k)$ and (j , k) is

$$d^2 = (p + j - j)^2 + (-q + k - k)^2$$

$$d = \sqrt{ (p^2 + q^2) }$$

as expected.

In short the perpendicular distance d is invariant to the applied vector $[\begin{smallmatrix} i \\ k \end{smallmatrix}]$ to Y3 and P3.

rg

Proof of Formula

Formula is $\{ ax_1 + by_1 + c \} / \sqrt{ (a^2 + b^2) }$

For $y = p/q x$ and point $(p , -q)$

$$d = p \times p + (-q) \times (-q) / \sqrt{ \{ p^2 + (-q^2) \} }$$

$$= (p^2 + q^2) / \sqrt{ (p^2 + q^2) }$$

$$= \sqrt{ (p^2 + q^2) }$$

We can now show the formula to be true

if invariant to vector $[\begin{smallmatrix} i \\ k \end{smallmatrix}]$

so $ax + by + c \Rightarrow p(x - j) - qy + kq$

ie $px - qy + kq - pj = 0$

and point is $(p + j , k - q)$

Substituting all values from the formula

$$d = \{ p (p + j) - q (k - q) + kq - pj \}$$

$$\div \sqrt{ \{ (p)^2 + (-q)^2 \} }$$

$$= \{ p^2 + pj - qk + q^2 + kq - pj \}$$

$$\div \sqrt{ \{ p^2 + q^2 \} }$$

$$= \{ p^2 + q^2 \} / \sqrt{ \{ p^2 + q^2 \} }$$

$$= \sqrt{ \{ p^2 + q^2 \} }$$

which is what we set out to establish.

Conclusion

We establish that

$$ax_1 + by_1 + c / \sqrt{ (a^2 + b^2) }$$

gives the correct answer in the simplest case when the line is

$$y = p/q \text{ and point } (p , -q)$$

$$\text{ie } d = \sqrt{ \{ p^2 + q^2 \} }$$

We then translate the line and point by any arbitrary vector $[\begin{smallmatrix} i \\ k \end{smallmatrix}]$ and determine that the formula gives the same answer

$$d = \sqrt{ \{ p^2 + q^2 \} }$$

Hence by induction the formula is correct.

Addendum

If you search the internet you can find about 7 proofs of the formula $\{ ax_1 + by_1 + c \} / \sqrt{ (a^2 + b^2) }$ all reasonably incomprehensible and totally non intuitive. The formula “looks” correct but emerges like a rabbit out the hat. Then I found a proof in “Elementary Calculus and Coordinate Geometry” by C G Nobbs which absolutely nails it.

Let the coordinates of point P be (x_1 , y_1) and the perpendicular to line $ax + by + c = 0$ be PN.

Let the directive angle PN be “ α ” and the length to be found “ d ”.

Coordinates N are then

$$(x_1 + d \cos \alpha , y_1 + d \sin \alpha)$$

However this point lies on

$$ax + by + c = 0$$

so we can substitute values for x and y.

$$a (x_1 + d \cos \alpha) + b (y_1 + d \sin \alpha) + c = 0$$

which we can rearrange to give

$$d (a \cos \alpha + b \sin \alpha) = - (ax_1 + by_1 + c)$$

Gradient line is $-a/b$ so gradient PN = b/a

and $b/a = \tan \alpha$

so $\sin \alpha = b / \sqrt{ (a^2 + b^2) }$ and

$$\cos \alpha = a / \sqrt{ (a^2 + b^2) }$$

so $d \{ a^2 / \sqrt{ (a^2 + b^2) } + b^2 / \sqrt{ (a^2 + b^2) } \}$

$$= - (ax_1 + by_1 + c)$$

Hence $d \sqrt{ (a^2 + b^2) } = - (ax_1 + by_1 + c)$

so $d = - (ax_1 + by_1 + c) / \sqrt{ (a^2 + b^2) }$

which is an intuitive process.

Investigation

Investigate the perpendicular distance from $(5 , 2)$ to $y = -\frac{3}{4}x + 12$ from first principles and using the formula.

Verify the answer from the formula is indeed -5 (negative 5)

Explanation

If we'd taken coordinates N as

$(x_1 - d \cos \alpha , y_1 - d \sin \alpha)$ the formula gives a positive answer. Strictly it's \pm and we take the modulus.

Perpendicular Form of a Line

This form can be extended. Take a line from the origin $O (0 , 0)$ to point P on any line and perpendicular to it.

The coordinates P are $(p \cos \alpha , p \sin \alpha)$ and the gradient is $\tan \alpha$

Therefore gradient line is $-\cotan \alpha$

So given a point and a gradient we derive

$$(y - p \sin \alpha) = -\cotan \alpha (x - p \cos \alpha)$$

Multiplying through by $\sin \alpha$ and

rearranging

$$y \sin \alpha = p (\cos^2 \alpha + \sin^2 \alpha) - x \cos \alpha$$

$$x \cos \alpha + y \sin \alpha = p$$

This is called the perpendicular form of the equation of the line

✎ rg